

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,263	10/31/2000	Anthony J. Cutie	540541-2013.1	1107
20999 7	590 09/09/2002			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151		•	DEWITTY, ROBERT M	
		[ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
		1	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	2 11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/702,263	CUTIE ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Robert M DeWitty	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN Cavoid abandonment of this 1) a timely filed amendme al (with appeal fee); or (3)	CONDITION FOR ALLOWA application. A proper rep nt which places the applica a timely filed Request for	ANCE. ly to a ation in
	REPLY [check either a) or	וַנס	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perion fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Commely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3.	e later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT the date on which the petition und of extension and the correspond the shortened statutory period of the shortened statutory period of the 17 CFR 1.704(b).	HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appending amount of the fee. The application of the fee and the final reject the mailing date of the final reject.	I. See MPEP propriate extension propriate extension at Office action; or jection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 July 2002. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	d within the period set fort missal of the appeal.	h in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	(aca NOTE bolow)	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below)	,
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);	hu meterially reducing or	simplifying the
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cand		nper of finally rejected clas	1113.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reju			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:	See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed \$		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment of how the new or amended claims. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment of how the new or amended claims.	ent(s) a) will not be ent s would be rejected is prov	ered or b)⊡ will be entere vided below or appended.	d and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		The second by the Free	inor
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) □ approved or b)	disapproved by the Exa	anner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)	
10. Other:			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Advis ry Action	Part of Pa	per No. 11



Continuation of 2. NOTE: First, Applicant asserts that Whitcomb does not teach a mixture of two or more drugs. However, as stated in the office action of 5/30/02, Whitcomb teaches that the compounds can be combined in a single formulation such as a tablet (col. 4, lines 35-37). Second, Applicant asserts that Rieveley does not teach a core formulation. However Rieveley teaches the use of a formulation comprising pioglitazone and Metformin. With reference to both references, it is understood by the examiner that because of the combination of pioglitazone and metformin a portion of pioglitazone would cover metformin.

JOSE' G. DEAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER